FILED27 JAN 25 10:13USDC-ORP

United	States	Dist	rict	Court
	District	_		
	ene Di		•	e i i i gi përsë atë në parë parë parë parë parë parë parë par

Hyll Thomas Croff	Civil Case No.		
Plaintiff	6:25-cv-137 SB		
V3.			
Linn County Circuit Court	42 USC 1983 :		
Oregon State Bar	manda and in the same of the same and high same and an arrange of the same and a same and a same and		
Ani Yardumian	July Trial Demarkled		
Daniel P Athinson			
Tyler Reid			
Rachel Kittson Magatish			
Defendants			
I. Parties			

Plaintiff: Hyle Thomas Cropp 1115 Jackson St SE Albany, OR 97321

Defendant: Ani Yardumian Deputy District Attorney
PO Box 100 Linn County District Attorney
Albany, OR 97321
(541)-967-3836

Document 1

Filed 01/27/25

Page 2 of 20

Case 6:25-cv-00137-SB

a substantial part of the counts or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

1. Federal Constitutional Rights at Issue

A. Amendment V

B, Amendment II

C. Amend ment VIII

D. Amendment XIV

III Exhaustion of Administrative Prevides

1 Plaintiff sought to exhaust his administrative

remedies as required by policy. Orievance was written to OSB and was mailed back a response. Response will be attached to complaint. Plaintiff responded to the response and filed another grievance with OSB. Plaintiff has yet to receive a response from OSB concerning the grieved matters. Sent complaints to Linn County circuit court Judges & DA. as well.

V Statement of Uaims

Claim I

Plaintiff was subjected to a Dul Process Violation

1. On January 17 2024 Ani Vardumian Filed
a defective accusatory instrument. Prior

Case No: to this instrument being filld plaintiff 24CRB2975 was not provided the opportunity of a poe liminary hearing or afforded the ability to take part in Grand Jury Proceedings. This infringed on my right to explain or contradict anything that was said. A clear violation of my XIII amendment rights. Due process of law was not served.

2. On March 7th 2024 another indictment Case No: was filed by Ani Yardumian. Tyler Reid 24 CR12346 had informed me days before the indictment was filed that one planned on doing so. Yet he failed to grant me a preliminary hearing or provide me the oppostunity to attend Grand Jury to explain or contradict anything that was said there. Due process of law was not served yet again.

3. On July 9 2024 Ani Vardumian Filed more charges against me. Let again a Crand Juny has yet to take place so for that I cannot speak on. Another due process violation.

Claim II II amendment violations
4. On all counts within claim I 1-3

I have been derived life, liberty, and property without due process of law. I have not been provided equal protection of laws because laws have been broken which has resulted in my wrongful delainment based on false claims having been made. This is a form of

malicious prosecution where I've been

the so target of extortion as well

as coercion.

5. At an official court proceeding somewhere between the months of 03/24 and 06/24 Judge Rachel Kittson Magatish conversed with Ms. Yardumian, "An attempted murder? That's a long stretch" clearly instructiong that the DA was grasping at thin air. Knowing that because I was not given the opportunity at Grand Tury to explain or contradict anything that was said the DA, Ms. Yardumian, got away with trumping up charges against Me. All this clearly proving constitutional violations, might I add that all these events have been

6. The cases in question listed throughout Claim I 1-3 prove these false claims made against me were used as a method. of wrongful detainment in less than par average an conditions. Substantive steps were not taken to have comitted the alleged crimes. The criteria for what the must have been done was not met whatsoever based on OPS quide lines.

7. All this was done and violated my III amendment right. Preventing me from obtaining a fast and speedy trial based on their false allegations/claims. An attempt at coercing me into a bogus deal.

8 Tyler heid was supposed to be assisting me with council, havever his actions and modes operandi shows

a prejudice against me where he acted as a prosecutor instead of a defender. At our second "attorney" client meet Mr. Reid ryelled at me and berated me. At this point he should have withdrawn himself from my case vased on conflict of interest. Yet he proceeded to "act" as

my attorney with a prosecutors intent.

9. At an official court proceeding on December 18th 2024 Ar Reid withdrew himself from coursel in the middle of the proceeding leaving me counselless. At that point the proceeding should have Ween ended until I was provided with Proper legal defense as authored in ord Mr. Reid are all educated proffessionals. Proveding I was being put at a source tactical disadvantage. I only realized this once I got back to the gail and Furthered my research in this matter. Another violation of my IT amendment right. Lack of assistance of coursel. This

lack of assistance had started way before this occurence. In a recorded phone call on or around the months of 11/24-12/24 I confronted Tyler Reid about his attempt at illegally sentencing me. His reply was it's not an illegal sentencing... we just have to do some legal cymnastics. I then replied so your aware that what your cloing is unethical. He had no dignified response to said statement proving his unethical behavior based on a pre existing conflictual disposition between him and I. A clear constitutional violation on many levels.

10. Mr. Preid had also began making deal negotiations prior to an investigation being completed. Another Flag raised proving ineffectiveness of counsel based on some form of malicious intent.

Rights were clearly violated, a quick overview of recorded court proceedings and telephone calls clearly prove these claims. The facts do not lie.

Claim III VIII amendment violation Excessive boil and Cruel and Unusual Punishment

11. I asked Mr. Deid in the months of March or April about obtaining a bail reduction based on the excessiveness which had been exhibited towards me. A 300,000.00 bail amount is an impossible figure & me based on my Financial promess enticely. Mr. Reid Said Ani Yardumian said to him she would not being willing to negotiate deals if a wait reduction was asked for. Clearly a coercive tactic, especially factualized when reffering to the court proceeding listed in claim II #5.

12. At the official court proceeding on December 18th 2024 I made it clear to Ms. Maga Hoh that I had been denied a boil reduction, clearly a reduction is within my rights based solely on the bail reform act. At that point a retaliatory action/response was taken and a no bail amount was set.

13. Since being wrongfully imprisoned I've locen quartered in a cell for upwards of 20 t hours a day. At one point I was locked in a cell for over 60 days straight with no access to outdoor exercise. I was only allowed out into the blocks day room for I how besides the 15 minute intervals to consume meals. I find this to be cruel and unusual especially when my priveleges and immunities had been infringed upon.

Claim IV XIV amendment violations
14. OSB was notified of the constitutional violations occurring around November. I received a sesponse back from Daniel P ATKINSON. totally ignoring the apparent negligance being exhibited towards me o It seemed a form of severe prejudiced was cet play.

15. The alleged victim in my case Bethany A ATKINSON I believe to be related to Daniel P ATKINSON, hunce the source prejudiced. This leads me to believe that is why my initial letter

11

to the OSB was overlooked, or looked at with source prejudice.

16. Bethany A ATKINSON also used to work for the sherriffs department in the billing department. I believe that Mr. Atkinson had previously signed paychecks for the officers involved in my cases.

17. Due to these circumstances it is Clear that my rights have been devied. That a deliberate indifference was shown towards me.

18. A discoverey for my cases was not provided to me for a total of 9 months. asked Tyler Reid why this was. His response was its because it hasn't been redacted. Having been unable to excupate For 9 months whilst being pressured/coered into taking a deal is clearly a direct constitutional violation of my rights having been denied. My equal protection of the laws has been infringed upon.

Claims Conclusion

- 16 Due to Bethanya Atkinsons relation to the higher upo within the justice systems entity my constitutional rights have ween Severely violated on many different levels.
- 17. Bethany Atkinson's relation to the sherritts department clearly substantiates a pre existing prejudice towards me. Hence why Palse claims and wrongful imprisonment were enacted on me, Pius all the other clear constitutional violations at work. clearly outlined in claims I through II.
- 18. I am a citizen of the United States with moralistic values. I am not a criminal. My record is fairly clean. I've not once ever been convicted of a felony. I only rank a H on the crime seriousness scale.
- 19. There are no sewire affit davits in these cases as well. The entirety of these events are highly suspect due to the Facts I've provided which are easily Substantiated. I believe this is called a Smoking Gun.

20. I believe that all defendants worked in conjunction with one another and are responsible for those injustices outlined throughout all the claims listed in this instrument. This constitutes that a Conspiracy was engaged in by two parties.

Pro SE Litigant Requests

21 That if any part of complaint needs
be amended that I have the
opportunity to do so prior to a
ore mature dismissal.

That this lawsuit be taken seriously, that a prejudice is not shown due to potential biases that proper liberty and justice may be invoked to ensure the constitutional soundness created by our fore Fathurs.

Prayer For heliet

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully prays -

A. Declare that the acts and omissions described Nevern Violated Plaintiffs rights under the constitution and laws of the United States.

73. Order Defendants to pay compensatory and puritive damages.

Clorder Defendants to pay reasonable afformed fees and costs; and

D Grant other just and equitable relief that this Honorable Court deems necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Myle Thomas Croff
Linn County Shuriffs Correctional Facility
1115 Jackson St SE
Albany, OR 97322

Pursuant to 28 USC & 1746, I declare and verify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the Foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 14th 2025

Man Shall



November 18, 2024

Kyle T. Croff Linn County Jail 1115 SE Jackson Street Albany, OR 97321

Subject: DPA 2401534 Ani Yardumian (Kyle T. Croff)
Dear Kyle Croff:

The Oregon State Bar Intake and Client Assistance Office (CAO) has received your correspondence inquiring about the conduct of prosecutor Ani Yardumian. The CAO is responsible for reviewing concerns regarding lawyers in Oregon. Under Oregon State Bar Rule of Procedure 2.5 and as resources permit, CAO determines the manner and extent of review required to decide whether the evidence submitted is sufficient to support a reasonable belief that lawyer misconduct may have occurred warranting a referral to Oregon State Bar Disciplinary Counsel for further evaluation and potential regulatory action. Misconduct means a violation of the rules of professional conduct and applicable statutes that govern lawyer conduct in Oregon.

Ms. Yardumian is prosecuting charges against you. You expressed concerns with several actions and legal positions taken by Ms. Yardumian, as well as other issues in your case that you contend are constitutional violations. Having reviewed all the relevant information submitted, I conclude that there is no sufficient basis to warrant a referral to Disciplinary Counsel. Most of your concerns are legal issues properly addressed to the court. It is not the role of our lawyer disciplinary process to evaluate the constitutional sufficiency of, for example, any Miranda warning you may or may not have received, or the procedural soundness of your indictment. To the extent that your concerns relate directly to specific conduct by Ms. Yardumian, those actions do not implicate our rules of conduct. For example, you allege that Ms. Yardumian told your lawyer she would not make any plea offers if you sought a bail reduction. There is nothing in our rules of conduct prohibiting this; she has no obligation to make a plea offer at all.

Because we find no sufficient evidence of professional misconduct, we will take no further action on this matter. If you disagree with this disposition, you may have the matter Kyle T. Croff

Page 2

reviewed by General Counsel, provided we receive your request for review in writing no later than December 9, 2024. The decision of General Counsel is final.

Respectfully,

Daniel P. Atkinson

Assistant General Counsel

Ext. 336

DPA/jmm
No attachment

cc w/ attach: Ani Yardumian

Ω2h

Notice of Constitutional TORT
To: State of Oregon's Group of Employees
Rachel Kiltson Magarish - Linn Lounty Judge
Ani Yardumian - Linn County Deputy District Attorney
Tyler Reid - Linn County Public Defender
Daniel P Atkinson - OSB Assistant General Counsel

I tryle Thomas Croft give notice of Constitutional Tort. The incidents occured between the dates of February 24th 2024 and wrently. My constitutional rights have been devised or abridged, my priveleges and immunities infringed upon, and my emotional, psychological, and Spiritual health completely discegarded with malicious intent. Malicious prosecution has been enacted and all sorts of Constitutional violations took place. This is due to pure megliquice, pure dereliction of duty, Pie Malfeasance 3 also severe prejudiced shown based on the parties involved in this case. Oregon state employees are in a direct Position to Uphold and enforce the LAW, Policies and Procedures, and therefore Should not be allowed to break them.

I am exercising my right under constitutional law that a real solution to truse injustices loe orchestrated. That the divine procepts of our Rorefactures be instilled and enforced. That these Forms of corruption be addressed appropriately that damages due to wrongful imprisonment be attained and injunctive relief be administered to not only right the corrent wrongs but prevent further injustice from scourging our great nation. I'm very concerned about my life, liberty, freedom and justice, at this point I believe I have no choice but to file a Constitutional Toxt Notice of Intent. I am submitting this in a timely manner. I dulare the forgoing is true & correct to the best of my knowledge.

COSE NOS: 24 (RO2973 24 (R12346 23-356) DoB 07-11-1990 Wisent Address Linn lounty Jail 1115 Jack son St SE 11-19-2025 Albany 104 97322

AFFIDAVITTOS SERVICE

I Meloy serve this notice of intent/ Abtice of constitutional tort to the State of Oregons group of employees in their single and Official capacities. By placing it in a pre stamped envelope addressed to each party Rachel Kittson Magatish - Linn County Judge Ani Vardumian - Deputy District Attorney Tyler Reid - Public Defender
Daniel P Attinson - OSB Assistant beneal Consel

Then handing the scaled envelope clearly marked "Legal Mail," & "OFFI CIAL CORPESPONDING

to a linn county Deputy to be placed in Mail by the U.S. Postal Service to the directed parties listed above.

Mailed on this 19th day of January 2025

Kyle Thomas Croff
1115 Jackson St SE

Albany, ORECON 97322